

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/717,846   | 11/19/2003     | Christos Paparizos   | 37,436                   | 9493            |
| . 7  | 590 10/06/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                 |                 |
| BP America Inc.  |                |                      | SACKEY, EBENEZER O       |                 |
| Docket Clerk, BP Legal, M.C. 5East<br>4101 Winfield Road |                |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER    |
| Warrenville, IL 60555                                    |                |                      | 1624                     | <del></del>     |
|  |                |                      | DATE MAIL ED. 10/06/2004 | ,               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |                  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/717,846   | PAPARIZOS ET   | PAPARIZOS ET AL. |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |                  |  |  |  |
|   |   | EBENEZER SACKEY  | 1626   |                  |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply   | appears on the cover sheet wi  | th the correspondence a  | ddress           |  |  |  |
| WHI(<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory price to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by see reply received by the Office later than three months after the electric part of the provided period for the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC<br>FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n<br>n.<br>eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON<br>statute, cause the application to become AB | CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this MANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |                  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| · —   | -   | This action is non-final.  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | <i>,</i> —  | dition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |                  |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims   |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| `4) <b>⊠</b>  | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 5)  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 8)[   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction a   | nd/or election requirement.  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | ion Papers  |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the Exar  | miner.   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to   | the drawing(s) be held in abeyan   | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |                  |  |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co   | rrection is required if the drawing(   | (s) is objected to. See 37 C   | CFR 1.121(d).    |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by th  | e Examiner. Note the attached  | Office Action or form P  | TO-152.          |  |  |  |
| Priority ι  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   | •  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of: |   |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority docum   |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docun</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>  |  |  | I Chara          |  |  |  |
|   | application from the International Bu   |  | received in this Nationa   | i Stage          |  |  |  |
| * 5   | See the attached detailed Office action for a   | ,  | received.  |                  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(e)  |  |  |                  |  |  |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) TIntention S  | ummary (PTO-413)   |                  |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notic  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948  | ) Paper No(s   | )/Mail Date  |                  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔼 Inforr<br>Pape   | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/27/04, 7/06/04</u> .   | 5)  Notice of In   | formal Patent Application  |                  |  |  |  |
|   |   | ٠, <u> </u>  | <del>-</del> ·   |                  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/717,846

Art Unit: 1626

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Page 2

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-21 are pending.

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt of the Information Disclosure Statement filed on 02/27/04 and 07/06/04 respectively is acknowledged and has been entered into the file. Signed copies of the 1449 are attached herewith.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Art Unit: 1626

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 7,071,140.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because there are substantial correlation between the instant catalyst composition and that of '140' for the preparation of acrylonitrile and methacrylonitrile.

The claimed catalyst composition differs from '140' in the ratios of the elements, that is where f+g= 4-10 in instant application whereas '140' teaches b+c= being greater than g, where g is between 0.05 to 4.

The claimed invention would be obvious from the use of similar catalyst composition of the reference for the same use i.e., for the preparation of acrylonitrile and methacrylonitrile absent of any unobvious or unexpected properties especially since one of ordinary skill in the art would expect that similar catalyst composition would have the same or virtually the same properties.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Sackey whose telephone number is (571) 272-0704.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1600.

EOS

September 27, 2006

James O.Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1624, Group 1600

**Technology Center 1**